

# Organizing Home Education Within Inclusive Education Frameworks

Malika Ergasheva

Head of The Chair of Public Sciences and Foreign Languages, Chirchik Branch of Tashkent State Medical University

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.47134/academia.v5i4.23>

\*Correspondence: Malika Ergasheva

Email: [jasurbekmamatov899@gmail.com](mailto:jasurbekmamatov899@gmail.com)

Received: 25-04-2025

Accepted: 25-05-2025

Published: 25-06-2025

**Abstract:** This article examines the process of organizing home education as a strategy for inclusive education for students with disabilities. The role of inclusive education policies in creating a flexible and individualized learning environment is emphasized, in which the process of home education serves as a complementary model, rather than a replacement for traditional education. It also focuses on practical issues faced by families and teachers, including problems accessing services, parental preparedness and social isolation.

**Keywords:** Inclusive Education, Individual Education Plan, Home Education, Legal Regulation, Competence, Competency, Flexibility, Personalization.



**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Introduction

The organization of home education for students with disabilities should be based on a strong legal and policy framework that ensures equal access to education and supports the rights of every child, regardless of their physical or mental condition (Ministry of Public Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan).

International legal documents, such as the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), play a central role in shaping national policies. They provide a framework for ensuring equal rights, accessibility, and social inclusion for individuals with disabilities (Convention on the Rights of the Child). Article 24 of the CRPD affirms the right to inclusive education and obliges states to ensure that persons with disabilities are not excluded from the general education system due to their disabilities. Similarly, UNESCO's Salamanca Statement emphasizes the importance of inclusive education systems and acknowledges the necessity of alternative approaches, including home schooling, when mainstream school environments are not suitable (UNESCO). The Convention on the Rights of the Child also affirms every child's right to education, development, and full participation in society.

## Methodology

To examine the organization of home education for students with disabilities within a legal and policy framework, the legal and policy analysis, review of international legal documents, examination of national policies and legislative provisions concerning home education for students with disabilities, analysis of different national implementations of home education frameworks, identifying variations in legal support, financial allocation, and institutional oversight and other methodological approaches were employed. This methodological framework ensures a comprehensive understanding of the legal, institutional, and practical aspects of home education for students with disabilities, enabling the formulation of actionable recommendations for improved accessibility and implementation.

Many countries' national legislation supports the implementation of home education as a legal and recognized form of schooling, particularly for children with disabilities. Legal regulations typically allow home-based learning based on medical advice or assessments of individual needs. In such cases, it is essential to develop an Individualized Education Plan (IEP). The IEP outlines the student's educational goals, teaching methods, necessary accommodations, and the services provided to ensure effective learning (World Health Organization & World Bank). To ensure compliance with educational standards, government oversight is often implemented. This supervision may take the form of regular assessments, home visits by educational specialists, or periodic reporting.

While the broader goal of education policy remains the promotion of inclusive school environments, many systems acknowledge that traditional approaches are not always effective in every situation. As a result, alternative methods, such as home education and specialized learning plans, are increasingly recognized as valuable solutions for meeting diverse student needs (Egorova, 2017). Home education serves as an additional model, providing a flexible, student-centered alternative learning process for students who cannot attend educational institutions due to disabilities. This approach ensures continuity in education, reduces psychological stress, and promotes higher learning rates through individualized methods.

Legal regulations often include provisions that guarantee students receiving home education the same educational opportunities as those in institutional settings. This may involve financial support from the government or other funding bodies, covering textbooks, assistive tools, therapeutic services, and specialized training. However, despite clear legal provisions, challenges remain in implementing and sustaining inclusive education. Key issues include limited access to qualified specialists, lack of awareness among families about their rights, and regulatory gaps in the field.

From this perspective, turning legal guarantees into practical support for families requires the active involvement of government agencies, educational institutions, and non-governmental organizations. Effective coordination of inclusive education processes, raising public awareness, and ensuring the consistent implementation of state policies are

crucial for making home education a viable and equitable option for students with disabilities.

The successful organization of home education for students with disabilities largely depends on the national legislative framework and the country's educational policies. These laws and regulations formalize students' rights, define the responsibilities of education authorities, and establish supportive structures beyond traditional school environments. In many countries, home education is legally recognized and regulated, particularly in cases where a child's disability or health condition presents significant challenges or risks in attending a mainstream school.

Typically, laws allow parents or guardians to apply for home education through an official process, which includes both educational and medical assessments. These assessments confirm that home learning aligns with the child's best interests and help determine the necessary support. A central component of this process is the development of an Individualized Education Plan (IEP), which serves as a personalized educational roadmap. The IEP specifies the student's academic goals, teaching methods, learning frequency, and required accommodations or special resources. This plan is usually developed collaboratively by teachers, medical professionals, and the child's family, with periodic reviews to ensure its relevance and effectiveness.

Government agencies or ministries of education are generally responsible for monitoring the quality and outcomes of home education. Their oversight involves regular assessments, requiring progress reports, and ensuring adherence to established educational standards for all students.

Beyond regulatory frameworks, national guidelines often emphasize the importance of equity and inclusion. These policies mandate that students with disabilities should have the same opportunities as their peers in terms of learning outcomes and personal development. This includes access to educational resources, assistive technologies, therapeutic services, and financial support for families. Such measures are crucial for preventing marginalization, especially for students facing social and educational challenges (Maslova, 2020).

Despite the existence of relevant legislation, the implementation of home education for children with disabilities in real life reveals numerous challenges (Timofeeva, 2020). Among the challenges are insufficient funding, a shortage of pedagogical staff with specialized skills and competencies, and the geographical location of individual families (urban vs. rural areas).

Therefore, continuous efforts are needed to expand the reach of inclusive education, improve the qualifications of teachers and educators, and ensure that families are fully aware of their legal rights and educational opportunities. Strengthening institutional support and promoting awareness campaigns can play a crucial role in addressing these barriers and making inclusive education more accessible and effective (Mittra, 2006).

In summary, national legislation and policy guidelines serve as the foundation for home education for students with disabilities, offering a structured, rights-based approach that emphasizes flexibility, personalization, and inclusivity.

Inclusive education policies play a central role in ensuring that educational systems meet the diverse needs of students, including those with disabilities. The primary goal of these policies is to provide equal opportunities for all students to learn, participate, and develop within the general education system, regardless of physical, cognitive, or emotional differences. The philosophy of inclusive education is based on principles of equality, dignity, and human rights. It asserts that the school system should adapt to the child, rather than the child having to conform to the system (Bocharova & Goryacheva, 2020).

However, while inclusive policies support integrating students with disabilities into mainstream classrooms, they also recognize that inclusion must be meaningful and adapted to individual needs. In some cases, standard school environments may not be suitable for students with disabilities. In such situations, inclusive policies acknowledge the necessity of alternative education models, such as home schooling, which offer personalized instruction and a more flexible learning environment.

Moreover, inclusive education policies often require that students receiving home education continue to have access to the same educational support as their peers in school settings. This includes specialized teachers, therapists, educational materials, and adaptive technologies to ensure equitable learning opportunities (Shishova, 2021).

It also promotes continuous social interaction through community-based programs, virtual classrooms, or extracurricular activities. The goal is to prevent isolation and encourage socialization among students.

While the concept of home education for students with disabilities is legally supported and aligned with inclusive education policies in many countries, its practical implementation often faces numerous challenges. These obstacles can significantly affect the quality and consistency of educational outcomes, leading to various inequalities.

One of the most critical issues is the shortage of trained pedagogical staff who can provide specialized support in home environments. The availability of professionals willing and able to deliver regular education or therapy at home—especially in rural or underprivileged areas—can be extremely limited. Even when remote support systems are implemented, technological barriers may hinder effective service delivery, particularly in cases requiring intensive, hands-on intervention. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated efforts from policymakers, educators, and support organizations to ensure equitable access to quality education for all students (Chen & Zhou, 2021).

## **Result and Discussion**

Financial constraints pose a significant challenge as well. While some education systems provide funding or subsidies, the financial burden of home education often falls on families. Parents may have to purchase assistive technologies, educational materials, or even hire private teachers, which can strain household budgets. Additionally, one parent might need to reduce their working hours or leave their job entirely to take on the role of a full-time educator or caregiver, further intensifying financial pressures.

Another major issue is the unequal distribution of resources and services, leading to disparities between different regions or socioeconomic groups. Families in urban centers

may have access to support networks, specialized institutions, and government programs, whereas those in remote areas often face isolation and poor infrastructure. This inequality can place some children with disabilities at a considerable disadvantage compared to their peers.

Bridging these gaps requires stronger policies to ensure equitable access to funding, resources, and specialized educators, particularly in underprivileged areas. Increasing awareness and institutional support can help create a more sustainable and fair education system for all students (Schuelka, 2015).

Parents' readiness and ability to take on the role of primary educators is a crucial factor. While many parents are deeply committed to their children's education, not all possess the pedagogical knowledge, confidence, or emotional resilience needed to manage a full curriculum. Without adequate preparation and psychological support, parents may experience fatigue, stress, and feelings of inadequacy, which can impact both their well-being and the child's learning experience.

Additionally, students receiving home education face the risk of social isolation. While home schooling can provide comfort and security, it may also limit opportunities for peer interaction, social skill development, and participation in community activities. Creating pathways for engagement, such as virtual classrooms, structured extracurricular programs, and inclusive social initiatives, is essential to ensuring a balanced educational experience. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that supports both parents and students in maintaining academic success and social integration (Booth & Ainscow, 2002).

Without deliberate efforts to integrate social activities such as virtual classrooms, community programs, or group learning opportunities, students may experience loneliness or a diminished sense of belonging.

Organizing home education for students with disabilities is both an opportunity and a responsibility. It offers a flexible, alternative educational model for students whose health, developmental needs, or personal circumstances make attending a traditional classroom difficult or ineffective. When properly designed and implemented, home education can support the principles of inclusive learning, ensuring that no child is left behind due to physical barriers or institutional limitations.

The legal and policy foundations for this approach have already been established across various international and national frameworks, recognizing education as a fundamental right for all children. Inclusive education policies, in particular, emphasize the importance of flexibility in learning, acknowledging that true inclusion means meeting each child where they are—sometimes beyond the traditional classroom walls.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, home education for students with disabilities is not just an alternative to conventional schooling but an integral component of a truly inclusive education system. When executed with care, foresight, and fairness, it can transform the educational

experience for some of the most vulnerable children, providing them with access to quality learning, academic success, and full participation in society.

## References

- Barah, E. Jaibeeh (2025). Exploring Haemodialysis Nurses' Perceptions on Kidney Replacement Therapy Modality Education: A Framework Analysis. *Journal of Renal Care*, 51(1), ISSN 1755-6678, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jorc.70002>
- Bocharova, V. R., & Goryacheva, I. A. (2020). Organization of family (home) education for children with disabilities. *Pedagogy and Psychology of Education*, No. 2, Pp. 45–52.
- Booth, T. & Ainscow, M. (2002). *The Index for Inclusion: Developing Learning and Participation in Schools*. - 3rd ed. - London: Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education, 2002. - 220 p.
- Chen, J. & Zhou, J. (2021). The role of home education in the integration of children with disabilities in China // *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*. - 2021. - Vol. 68, Issue 2. - Pp. 143–157. - DOI: 10.1080/1034912X.2020.1829893
- Convention on the Rights of the Child. (1989). United Nations. URL: [<https://www.unicef.org/eca/ru/konvenciya-o-pravakh-rebenka>](<https://www.unicef.org/eca/ru/konvenciya-o-pravakh-rebenka>)
- Egorova, L. A. Home education for children with disabilities: Pedagogical aspects. - St. Petersburg: Piter, 2017. - 245 p.
- Feijoo-Garcia, M.A. (2024). Argumentation Framework as an Educational Approach for Supporting Critical Design Thinking in Engineering Education. *ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition Conference Proceedings*, ISSN 2153-5965, <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85202073404&origin=inward>
- Fu, K. (2025). The internationalisation of professional master's education in digital media art: Towards a practice-based framework. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 62(1), 190-202, ISSN 1470-3297, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2285810>
- Maslova, G. I. Issues of integrating children with disabilities into the educational environment // *Special Education*. - 2020. - Vol. 7, No. 3. - Pp. 45-56.
- Ministry of Public Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2023). Methodological recommendations for organizing education for children with disabilities in a family setting. Tashkent.
- Mitra, S. (2006). The capability approach and disability. *Disability Policy Journal*, 16(4), 236-247.
- Perry, P. (2024). International collaborative project framework for COIL in fashion education. *Future of Fashion Education Speculation Experience and Collaboration*, 171-182, <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003505426-19>
- Purkait, T. (2025). Are food and nutrition assistance programs fostering an equitable early care and education (ECE) food environment? A systematic review utilizing the RE-

- AIM framework. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 70, 30-39, ISSN 0885-2006, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2024.08.010>
- Rymarz, R.M. (2024). Pondering Diversity in Contemporary Culture: Towards Establishing a Framework for a Dialogical Approach to Religious Education in Australian Catholic Schools. *Religions*, 15(5), ISSN 2077-1444, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel15050617>
- Schuelka, M. J. (2015). Re-framing inclusive education: The shift from intervention to transformation. - In: *Special Education in the 21st Century*. - New York: Springer, 2015. - Pp. 65-78. - DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2645-7\_5
- Shishova, V. I. (2021). Inclusive education and the specifics of home schooling for children with disabilities // *Journal of Theory and Practice of Education*. - 2021. - No. 12. - Pp. 50–58.
- Timofeeva, E. V. The impact of inclusive education on the social adaptation of children with disabilities // *Social Psychology*. - 2020. - Vol. 8, No. 2. - Pp. 29–36.
- UNESCO. (1994). *The Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action on Special Needs Education*. Paris: UNESCO.
- World Health Organization, World Bank. (2011). *World Report on Disability*. Geneva: WHO. URL: [\[https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240685215\]](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240685215)(<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240685215>)